

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,851	08/03/2001	Gary K. Michelson	101.0084-02000	8299
22882	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP 1557 LAKE O'PINES STREET, NE			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
HARTVILLE, OH 44632			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	13
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/921,851	MICHELSON, GARY K.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce E Snow	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	<u>eptember 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 126-130 and 207-257 is/are pending if the above claim(s) is/are withdraw is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 126-130 and 207-257 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not c priority under 35 U.S.C. st sentence of the specific evisional application has be c priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. peen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)



Application/Control Number: 09/921,851

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 242-257 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicates of claims 126-130, 207-213, 221-241. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

All claims are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 121-130 and issued application No. 09/572,518. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim the same method of forming a surface projection of an orthopedic (which includes spinal) implant having the identical elements claimed; 09/572,518 is more broadly claimed.

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Given a proper terminal disclaimer, the following applies:

Claims 126-130, 207-220, and 236-241 are allowed.

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 235 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 226 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 226, claims "...spaced apart from a base of another of said surface projections by a distance no greater than one-half the maximum width of at least one.." is not supported in the original specification or the figures.

Drawings

Application/Control Number: 09/921,851

Art Unit: 3738

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the following must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim 226, claims "..spaced apart from a base of another of said surface projections by a distance no greater than one-half the maximum width of at least one.." is not supported in the original specification or the figures.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 221-234 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Paul et al (6,258,125).

Paul et al teaches a method of forming a spinal implant including the step of milling (see column 4, line 54) and forming a plurality of surface projections on upper and lower surfaces. Said projections having a forward facet, rearward facet, and two side facets; wherein the forward facet is longer and rearward facet has a steeper slope and an angle greater than 90 degrees. See figures 9 and 10A which are interpreted as having said four facets.

IDS

Applicant's IDS, paper No. 10, included a copy of U.S. 6,482,233 which was not listed thereon, the Examiner included this patent on said list.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (703) 872-9306. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703)308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

PRIMARY EXAMINER